

In the Hard Thermal Loop formalism, the following useful relations can be derived [1, 2].

## 1 Plasma properties

For a gluon gas:

$$\mu = m_D = gT = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s}T. \quad (1)$$

Density  $N, \rho$ :

$$N = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\zeta(3)T^3 \quad (2)$$

$$\rho = \frac{8}{9}C_A N \quad (3)$$

One way to calculate

$$\hat{q} = \int d^q_{\perp} \frac{d\Gamma_{el}}{d^2q_{\perp}} q_{\perp}^2, \quad (4)$$

is using the high-energy limit:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{el}}{d^2q_{\perp}} = \frac{C_R}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{g^4 N}{q_{\perp}^4}, \quad (5)$$

and cutting the integral off at  $m_D$

$$\hat{q} = \int_{m_D}^{q_{max}} d^2q_{\perp} \frac{C_R}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{g^4 N}{q_{\perp}^4} q_{\perp}^2 = 4\pi C_R \alpha^2 N \ln(q_{max}^2/m_D^2). \quad (6)$$

For GLV, we also need to calculate  $1/\lambda$ . Taking

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \int d^q_{\perp} \frac{d\Gamma_{el}}{d^2q_{\perp}} \quad (7)$$

gives a 'nice' result if we take the integration to infinity

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \int_{m_D}^{\infty} d^2q_{\perp} \frac{C_R}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{g^4 N}{q_{\perp}^4} = 4\pi C_R \alpha^2 N \frac{1}{m_D^2} = \frac{9\pi\alpha^2}{2m_D^2} \rho. \quad (8)$$

Note that the value now depends entirely on the IR cut-off. That's probably not a good situation. What value of  $1/\lambda$  should really enter in the GLV formalism? Note, by the way, that the above result leads to the same transport (?) cross section  $\sigma = 1/\lambda\rho$  as used by Baier [3].

Comparing Eqs 6 and 8, we see the following relation between  $\hat{q}$ ,  $\mu = m_D$  and  $\lambda$

$$\hat{q} = \frac{m_D^2}{\lambda} \ln(q_{max}^2/m_D^2). \quad (9)$$

This looks reasonable, but it should be noted that **different upper integration limits** were used for  $\lambda$  and  $\hat{q}$ .

## 2 Further questions

If we decide to use the equations above, what is a good value for  $q_{max}$ ? Common choices seem to be  $q_{max} = 2\sqrt{ET}$  and  $q_{max} = 3\sqrt{ET}$ .

Various refinements can be made to Eq. 6, as detailed in [1], which may change the relation between  $\hat{q}$  and  $T$  by a factor 1.5. Should we pursue any of those and/or introduce an uncertainty band related to a variation from the simple ansatz to more complete results?

## References

[1] P. Arnold and W. Xiao, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 125008 (2008) [arXiv:0810.1026 [hep-ph]].

[2] P. Arnold, private communication

[3] R. Baier and D. Schiff, JHEP **0609**, 059 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605183].