



# **R&D Report and FY19 Proposal - eRD6 Tracking & PID Consortium -**

**Marcus Hohlmann**

*Florida Institute of Technology*

*(For the eRD6 Consortium)*



January – July 2018 / BNL, FIT, INFN, SBU, UVA

# R&D REPORT



# TRACKING



# Overview – Current Tracking R&D



- BNL
  - Optimized zigzag strip readout
  - Infrastructure for TPC R&D
- FIT, UVA
  - Assembly of two full-size, low-mass forward tracker prototypes
  - Beam test at Fermilab June/July 2018
- SBU
  - Assembly and beam test of TPC prototype at Fermilab July 2018






# Optimized Zigzag Readout (BNL)



## I. Characterizing Zigzag readouts using x-ray scans

ZZ pattern	1. Chemical Etch (un-optimized)	2. Chemical Etch (optimized)	3. Laser Etch (optimized, low gain)
pitch/period	2mm/0.5mm	2mm/0.56mm	2mm/0.5mm
Strip Overlap / Conductor coverage	40% / 66%	83% / 63%	87% / 90%
Gap width	82 $\mu$ m	84 $\mu$ m	22 $\mu$ m
Position Resolution / Efficiency due to removal of single pad hits	93 $\mu$ m (56% eff.)	70 $\mu$ m (99% eff.)	63 $\mu$ m (100% eff.)

- There is a clear trend in improved performance from the zigzag readouts as the zigzag design and the manufacturing techniques have progressed over the last few years.
- In particular, the use of laser ablation to form the zigzag electrodes has allowed greater overlap between neighboring pads while maintaining a high level of conductor coverage on the readout plane, which is mostly responsible for this improvement.
- So far, the results with laser ablation have only been achieved over a relatively small area of the readout since ~20% of pads are shorted to a neighboring pad. However, efforts are currently under way to address this manufacturing flaw.









# Optimized Zigzag Readout (BNL)



## II. Beam test results from a 4-GEM planar detector equipped with a “Multi-zigzag readout”




Readout with multiple zigzag patterns



- Study multiple zigzag patterns (over a relatively broad range of geometric parameters) in a single PCB in Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> 70:30
- PCB generated using laser ablation
- Tested 4-GEM+multi-ZZ, Micromegas(MM)+multi-ZZ, and GEM+MM+multi-ZZ
- Systematic tests (gain scan, scan of fields in gaps) with real tracks show very encouraging results

- Preliminary results with 4-GEM+multi-ZZ (2mm pitch, 0.4mm period, >90% interleaving, ~90% coverage) : suppressed DNL, and a position resolution of 52μm for normally incident tracks
- Stretching the zigzag pattern (such that neighboring pads overlap by some % of the pitch) shows a minimum for the spatial resolution at 5% -- need further testing to explain
- TO DO: try different gases with different transverse diffusion; minidrift configuration to measure angled tracks






# TPC R&D Infrastructure (BNL)




Just completed GEM-based cosmic ray telescope



- Measure high-res. reference particle tracks in the lab
- 4 layer tracker with COMPASS X-Y readout
- Reconstructing track segments in a mini-drift config. (instead of space points) may substantially improve position resolution
- Recently used successfully by UVA and FIT at Fermilab beam test


Assembly of compact TPC prototype nearing completion



- The prototype can accept our r/o PCB template design / re-use TPC-Cherenkov prototype field cage
- HV testing the field cage within the enclosure is currently underway
- We expect to have the assembly complete within weeks

# Small Prototype TPC (SBU)

## Test Beam



- ▶ Principal Goal: Drift Length Scan
  - ▶ >10000(30,000) triggers at 8 points spanning full length.
  - ▶ Highest statistics at end points.

Next steps: setting up for IBF measurements




# Forward Tracker – Low-mass GEMs (FIT)



eRD6  
FIT

Initial assembly of carbon-fiber frame prototype and test:



Common  
GEM foil





# Low-mass CF Frame GEM - Observations



- **Encouraging:**

- HV foil with soldered spring-loaded pins that is glued onto CF frame works well for making contacts with foils in stack and supplying electric potentials to foils
- CF frame doesn't show any large deformation when stack is tensioned (no bowing)
- No issues with conductivity of CF
- Total chamber mass below 3 kg (w/ HV filter but w/o FE electronics)







# Low-mass CF Frame GEM - Observations



- **Problematic:**

- Foils not perfectly flat after stretching; foils wrinkle in gaps b/w inner frames
- Detector did not hold full voltage at FIT (HV trips and audible discharges); subsequently confirmed at FTBF
- Low impedance “shorts” (1-2 M $\Omega$ ) across drift & transfer-1 gap at FTBF
- Al-Kapton entrance window can partially “collapse” onto drift foil at low gas flow
- Chamber leaky





# Low-mass CF Frame GEM – Issues



- Causes (our current hypotheses):
  - **Insufficient stretching** of the 5 foils in the stack reduces gaps (and increases E-field) or causes foils to touch in several HV sectors
  - **Gaps** between inner frame pieces on two long sides are design flaw
  - **3D-printed light ABS material turned out to be too soft** for making robust pull-outs that can withstand the forces that are apparently necessary for properly tensioning a 5-foil stack
    - Pull-outs bend inward, which reduces the stack tension, and even crack
    - Screws fastening CF frames against pull-outs cannot be tightened sufficiently before stripping the threads in the pull-outs




(Notes: Design is based on CMS detectors that use only 3 foils and stainless steel pull-outs; transfer-1 & induction gaps only 1mm wide.)




# Low-mass CF Frame GEM – Remedies



- Already attempted (at FNAL)
  - Redesign & reprint some inner frames to remove 1cm **gaps**
  - Redesign & reprint pull-outs with a more solid design
- Future (FY19 cycle)
  - Machine pull-outs/frames from **PEEK**
  - Change gaps: 3/**1**/2/**1** → 3/**2**/2/**2** mm








# Low-mass CF Frame GEM – First Data



- Readout uses long zigzag strips with long signal traces on back of the readout foil to connect strips to FE electronics: Interstrip cap. is 60-70 pF; complex trace routing
- ⇒ Noise and cross-talk are potential concerns
- Measured pedestals at various HV settings at FTBF; **find low noise**

zigzag readout strips      signal traces





# Low-mass G10 Frame GEM – Assembly (UVA)



## Main goals / challenges of the current R&D:

### ⇒ Low Mass Detector: Only foils in the active area

- Drift Cathode & U-V strips readout are all on foils
- No rigid PCB or honeycomb support in the active area (except 300 μm spacer grids)
- Entrance and exit gas window ⇒ uniform gap b/w inner layers

### ⇒ Low Cost Support Frames:

- External support frames (2 gas window + 2 top frames) locally produced at **lower cost** with commercial G10 material
- Inner frames, 3 GEMs + drift cathode frames with spacer grids ⇒ produced in Belgium (RESARM) at a **higher cost**
- ~ **30% total production cost saving**

### ⇒ Double sided zebra connection scheme:

- Double the number of electronic channels to be read out from the outer radius of the chamber
- **No FE cards on the back or the side of the detector**
- No vias necessary on the readout strip foil ⇒ **thinner Cu layer for both U and V strips**

Common GEM Foil



U-V strips readout foil




Low Cost Support Frames




EIC-FT-GEM Prototype




Hybrid of Low Cost & High performances Support Frames



Sketch of the double side zebra strip connection scheme for the U-V strip readout



Cross section of Low Mass / All Foils EIC-FT GEM prototype





# Low-mass G10 Frame GEM – Beam Test (UVA)








- ⇒ **Test Beam @ FTBF Fermilab:** 3 weeks long joint Test Beam effort with Florida Tech (Large Area GEM) and Stony Brook University (TPC prototype) with BNL support
- ⇒ **Goals:** Study the spatial resolution of the large GEMs (UVa and FIT prototypes) and perform position scan for the study gain uniformity
- ⇒ **Tracking:** Four BNL 10 cm × 10 cm GEM with 2D COMPASS readout
- ⇒ **Readout electronics:** APV25-based SRS Readout electronics + DATE / AMORE for the DAQ and analysis software
- ⇒ **Analysis of the data just started**

**Setup @ the FTBF Fermilab (July 2018):**

UVa EIC-FT-GEM with BNL GEM Telescope used as reference trackers

## Preliminary results from Test Beam @ Fermilab: Beam profile in U and V strips of the EIC-FT-GEM prototype






# Low-mass G10 Frame GEM – X-ray test (UVA)







## Recent X-Ray Test in the Detector Lab @ UVA

- ⇒ Further validation of the double-sided zebra connection scheme
- ⇒ Study of gain uniformity of the chamber and correlation between strip length and signal pulse size (average ADC vs. strip length)
- ⇒ Effect of charging up in high particle rate exposure

## EIC-FT-GEM prototype in X-ray Test Box



## Preliminary results from X-ray test: Occupancy and gain uniformity






# R&D on $\mu$ RWELL with 2D Readout (UVA)



## Goals / challenges of the current R&D:

- ⇒  $\mu$ RWELL R&D: We acquired and assembled one small 10 cm  $\times$  10 cm prototype with 2D X-Y strips readout a la COMPASS
- ⇒ **Study the performance of 2D readout strips:** X-Y strips signal are shared through capacitive coupling
  - ⇒ Charge sharing and cluster charge size (ave. # of strips with hit)
  - ⇒ Preliminary results from the test beam data taken with Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> 70:30
  - ⇒ non equal sharing between top and bottom strips and distortion of the charges on bottom strips
- ⇒ **Analysis of the data just started and is ongoing**


## Cross section of $\mu$ RWELL layer with 2D X-Y readout






## $\mu$ RWELL in FNAL Test Beam



## $\mu$ RWELL with 2D X-Y readout




## Preliminary results with the $\mu$ RWELL @ FTBF (July 2018)






# PARTICLE ID



# Overview – Current PID R&D



- INFN Trieste
  - Single-photon detection with MPGDs for high-momentum RICH
    - Resistive MICROMEGAS prototype with miniaturized pad size
    - Nano-diamond photocathodes for MPGDs
- SBU
  - Evaporator upgrade for mirror coating





# Gaseous Photon Detection (INFN)






Two current tasks:


1. Development of a resistive MICROMEGAS prototype with miniaturized pad-size




Development of an original DAQ system: **RAVEN** to read-out SRS electronics at *maxim rate compatible with SRS*



Smiley face icon Satisfactory detector performance




Smiley face icon Non uniform gain even in adjacent pads



UNDERSTOOD: Parasitic C in PCB



Preparation for the test beam: almost completed





# Gaseous Photon Detection (INFN)





Two current tasks (cont.) :

2. Initial studies of the compatibility of an innovative photocathode based on NanoDiamond (ND) particles with the operation in MPGD-based photon detectors

## • Preliminary exercises

- 6 small-size THGEMs ( 30 x 30 mm<sup>2</sup> ) fully characterized before and after coating with ND powder & Hydrogenated ND (HND) powder:
- ND : systematically higher gain 😊
- HND : systematically lower breakdown HV, morphology ? 🤔



→ Already indications for future studies



# Gaseous Photon Detection (INFN)




## Upcoming 2018 MILESTONES:

- *September 2018: The completion of the laboratory characterization of the photon detector with miniaturized pad-size.*

**FULLY MATCHED**

- *September 2018: The completion of the tests to establish the compatibility of the ND photocathodes with the operation of MPGD-based photon detectors.*


**FIRST SET OF MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED, FURTHER STUDIES NEEDED**



# Evaporator Upgrade (SBU)



Installation of E-Beam source  
started at SBU





FY19 / BNL, FIT, INFN, SBU, Temple, UVA, Yale

# R&D PROPOSAL



# TRACKING



# Overview – Tracking R&D Proposed for FY19



- BNL, SBU, Yale
  - Optimization of TPC performance at EIC
- FIT, Temple\*, UVA
  - Simulation of a fast central tracker based on  $\mu$ RWELL
  - Design of small cylindrical  $\mu$ RWELL prototype
- FIT
  - Refurbishment and testing of low-mass forward tracker prototype
- Temple\*
  - Test stand for material outgassing

\* Temple U. joining eRD6 as a full member in FY19



# TPC Optimization R&D




**Motivation:** Investigate new forms of readout and operating gases for a TPC that would optimize its operation specifically for EIC.

❑ Aspects include:

- Utilizing different gain structures/readout modules
- Tuning the gain structure operating parameters (voltages and fields) for optimal performance under EIC operating conditions.
- Optimizing the detector operating gas.

**R&D collaborators:** BNL, SBU, Yale

- ❑ The group at BNL has a great deal of experience with MPGD's, and has the equipment and infrastructure available to perform much of the envisioned R&D
- ❑ The group at Stony Brook is now developing the sPHENIX TPC and brings a high level of expertise for identifying and implementing areas of potential improvement for operation of a TPC at EIC
- ❑ The group at Yale has extensive experience with developing the STAR and ALICE TPC's, and has much experience with novel readout structures like GEM+MM hybrid readouts




# TPC R&D Plan




## Proposed R&D activities for FY19:


- Use two existing TPC prototypes for studies:
  - compact field cage prototype at BNL (from previous TPC/Cherenkov studies)
  - larger cylindrical field cage built at Stony Brook
- Investigate various MPGD's as TPC readouts
- Optimize gain structure, readout pads, and detector gas combinations (including IBF)
- Simulation studies of various readout patterns and gas combinations
- Test different readout electronics for TPC
- Measure TPC spatial resolution and track resolution using cosmic ray telescope in the lab and subsequently in the FTBF test beam.
- Develop laser calibration of the TPC drift region using UV laser



Rectangular Cage (flexibility)



Cylindrical Cage (40 cm drift)



# TPC R&D – Available Hardware




## Detector Readouts to test

- 4-GEM+ZZ r/o (Laser etched)
- 2-GEM+MM+ZZ r/o (10x10 cm<sup>2</sup> + sPHENIX TPC)
- $\mu$ RWELL+ZZ r/o


## Lab Infrastructure

- Cosmic ray telescope
- Compact TPC (in lab)
- Small cylindrical TPC prototype (sPHENIX)
- 2 and 4 ch. gas mixing & distribution system
- Collimated X-ray scanner
- 32cm drift cell test chamber (up to ~2kV/cm) for gas studies
- High intensity x-ray gun
- 12 ch. pico-ammeter module (can float up to 6kV)
- 266nm UV laser


## 4GEM – Minimal Dead Area



## MM+ZZ r/o



## $\mu$ RWELL




## Available Readout Electronics

- 2048 ch. SRS + APV25
- 128 ch. discrete FEE + DRS4 based ADC (750MHz, 5GHz sampling, up to ~1 $\mu$ sec long DAQ frame)
- ~512+ ch. SAMPA (FEE, 10-20MHz sampling, 5-10 $\mu$ s long DAQ frame)
- 1024 ch. DREAM (FEE, programmable gain & shaping time, 50MHz sampling, ~10 $\mu$ s DAQ frame)

## CAEN V1742 ADC




## SAMPA Eval. Board



## DREAM Module





# TPC – Planned Measurements & Simulations



## Studies of readout structures and operation


- Which gain structure is optimal for EIC TPC mode?
- Optimize GEM configuration (hole pattern/pitch)
- Optimize fields/voltages
- Study grid structures

## Gas studies


- Gain, drift velocity (plateau region)
- Charge spread/diffusion over drift
- Primary charge in gas from ionizing track ( $N_{eff}$ )
- Investigate possible operating gases
  - Ar-CF<sub>4</sub>-iC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> (95-3-2), Ar-CF<sub>4</sub> (95-5), Ar-CO<sub>2</sub> (90-10), ...
- IBF may be a problem for EIC (especially at the ultimate design luminosity)

## Encouraging Novel Simulations on IBF


IBF structures using a passive Grid (ungated).



Novel simulation of full GEM stack avalanche with random hole alignment.



Shifting charge b/w layers!  
Novel GEM simulation accounts for hole alignment



2 GEMs + MMG setup

	AuAu 200 Gev	EIC (baseline)	EIC (Ultimate)
Gas	Neon	Argon	Argon
Ionization (e/cm)	43	94	94
Multiplicity	450	0.45	0.45
Rate	100	69	711
K	6.93	1.96	1.96
Dead Volume Factor	0.1	1	1
Op Point Factor	0.3	2	2
FOM	8377	2978	30689
FOM relative to sPHENIX	1.00	0.36	3.66

Figure of Merit for sPHENIX as compared to EIC. Large figure of merit indicates a more challenging setup.




# Cylindrical $\mu$ RWELL Detector



## Motivation

- A consensus was formed within the EIC community that an EIC should ideally have **two** large detectors – preferably with **complementary technologies**.
- One detector would presumably feature a TPC in the central region while the second should seek another technology
  - One such technology that can provide fast tracking signals is the **resistive micro-well detector ( $\mu$ RWELL)**.
  - This technology can also serve as a fast, *prompt-hit* detector in combination with a TPC.
- $\mu$ RWELL combines the advantages of both GEM and Micromegas
  - Like Micromegas → single amplification stage, thin structure, low material
  - Like GEM → Simple and single structure
  - Unlike GEM and Micromegas → no stretching needed for detector assembly
  - Low-cost MPGD detector
- The  $\mu$ RWELL PCB is realized by coupling
  - A suitable WELL patterned Kapton foil as *amplification stage*
  - A *resistive stage* for the discharge suppression, charge spreading, and current sinking
  - A standard readout PCB







# Cylindrical $\mu$ RWELL Detector



## Simulation: (Temple U.)

- Within the **EICRoot** framework we plan to implement low-mass **cylindrical  $\mu$ RWELL layers** and carry out a series of simulation studies to better understand the practicality and benefit of such a technology.
  1. Study **momentum resolution** of particle tracks as a function of **cylindrical  $\mu$ RWELL layers**.
  2. Study **momentum resolution** of particle tracks ( $\pi/e$ ) as function of **energy** and **pseudo-rapidity** using  **$\mu$ RWELL**.
  3. Repeat study 2. using already implemented **TPC** for comparison
- We will only be requesting money for **manpower** to carry out the **simulation** and **analysis**
  - **Postdoc @ 20%**



2m

Preliminary low-mass  **$\mu$ RWELL** cylindrical shells already implemented within **EICRoot**



# Cylindrical $\mu$ RWELL Detector – R&D Plan



## Design of a Cylindrical $\mu$ RWELL Prototype: (FIT & UVA)


- Design a low-mass **cylindrical  $\mu$ RWELL** with a diameter of 10-20 cm and a length of about 30 cm.
- Address mechanical issues related to maintaining a uniform drift gap and gas seal around a cylindrical detector
- Design of readout structures allowing to read out the signal from both ends of the detector

## R&D on readout structures for $\mu$ RWELL detector: (FIT & UVA with BNL support)

- Continue the characterization of small **planar  $\mu$ RWELL** prototypes with 2D strips (UVA) and zigzag strip (FIT)
- Develop new small **planar  $\mu$ RWELL** prototypes with low mass and / or low-channel count for 2D readout structures
- Design of readout structures to read out the signal from both ends of the detector

## Funding Request for FIT & UVA

- R&D on small **planar  $\mu$ RWELL** prototypes with different 2D readout structures
- Manpower to carry out the design of the **cylindrical  $\mu$ RWELL**
- Part of the money request of the two institutes will be for travel to BNL for X-ray scans of the **small planar  $\mu$ RWELL** prototypes



# Outgassing Test Setup (TU)




## Motivation

- Test **new materials**. For example new 3D printed materials.
- Allows us to test for **cheaper alternatives** to currently used products, e.g. Nuvoern varnish.
- Provides **good synergy** with testing eRD6 3D printed GEM frame/structure R&D.
- Like the **GEM CCD** scanner at Temple University the outgas test setup would also **serve the broader detector community**, not just the EIC R&D community.

## System Overview

- Follows what was done for CMS GEM testing, prepared/tested by Jeremie Merlin at CERN (we are in direct contact with him).
  - A **stainless steel cylinder** ("hot box") contains the material under test. The hot box is also wrapped in **resistive tape** to allow heating for enhanced outgassing and cleaning.
  - Clean **pre-mixed gas** is sent through the hot box.
  - The gas and possible pollutants are then sent to the **detector** (Single-Wire PC) that can measure effects of polymerization.





# PARTICLE ID



# Overview – PID R&D Proposed in FY19



- INFN Trieste
  - Micromegas readout with miniaturized pad size
  - Coupling a nano-diamond photocathode to an MPGD
  
- SBU
  - Transformation Optics Meta-Materials



# PID R&D Proposal – Gaseous PD (INFN)



## Near future (2019), continuation of the on-going R&D activities:

1. MICROMEGAS prototype with miniaturized pad-size  
**Beam test** in Oct 2019, followed by the analysis of the beam test data  
Construction of the **second version** of the prototype fixing the gain non uniformity and its full characterization by laboratory studies
2. Coupling of innovative photocathode based on NanoDiamond (ND) particles to MPGD photon detectors  
Production of a **new set of small-size THGEM coated with ND and hydrogenated ND (HND)**  
Full understanding of the **THGEM performance** and features when coated with ND and HND by laboratory studies

## Far future (> 2019):


Comparison of **THGEM vs GEM** photocathodes in order to select the best architecture for the photon detectors of the EIC RICH;  
Further studies in order to enhance the **IFB suppression** in hybrid MPGDs;  
Operation of hybrid MPGDs in **fluorocarbon-rich gas mixtures**;  
*If the compatibility of ND photocathode and MPGD is established, further studies of MPGD-based photon detectors with ND photocathodes.*



# PID R&D Proposal – New Materials (SBU)



Motivation - Reuse sPHENIX for Day-1 EIC-Detector



## RICH Prototype Studies in eRD6 - 2015

Conclusion then:

- ▶ ... segmentation of the readout, we have used for our prototype is not sufficient ...
- ... radiator gas,  $CF_4$  provides only little diffusion so that charge sharing over more than one pad on the readout plane is essentially excluded ...

Possible solution then:

- ▶ ... to overcome this limitation one has to either reduce the pad size which will result in a significantly higher channel count ...
- ▶ ... to introduce charge broadening via resistive layers, however, this introduces other complications which makes this approach less desirable ...

- |                             |                           |                  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Solenoid                    | Flux return               | Central tracking |
| Electromagnetic calorimeter | Forward/backward tracking | Particle ID      |
| Hadron calorimeter          |                           |                  |


Detector gets very crowded → Particle ID with least space



# Transformation-Optical Metamaterials





- ◇ Spatially changing refractive index leads to changes in light-propagation characteristics
- ◇ Artificial media that have spatially changing optical properties can bend light in almost any manner
- ◇ Manipulate optical properties → Transformation Optics
  - ★ Framework exploiting form-invariance of Maxwell's equations in design of material parameters of optical devices
  - ★ Form-invariance of Maxwell's equations under coordinate transformations → equivalence between geometries and media



# Cherenkov Angles



Ginis V. et al. "Controlling Cherenkov Radiation with Transformation-Optical Metamaterials". In: *Physical Review Letters* 113.167402 (2014). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.167402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.167402).



Aerogel vs  $CF_4$

Meta- $CF_4$

$$F = 1.0005 \quad G = 10$$


$$\tan(\alpha_{PH}) = \frac{k_y}{k_x} = \frac{G}{F} \frac{\sqrt{F^2 \epsilon_b \omega^2 / c^2 - k_x^2}}{k_x} = \frac{G}{F} \tan(\theta_{Ch, n_b})$$

Factors F, G due to different  $n$  in transverse & longitudinal directions


# Metamaterials for Cherenkov

- ▶ Fabricate devices that provide materials with inhomogeneous indices of refraction → photonic crystals and meta-materials
- ▶ Formed by building units of size  $s$  intermediate between the molecular scale  $m = (1 - 3) \text{ nm}$  and the optical wavelength  $\lambda$

Ginis V. et al. "Controlling Cherenkov Radiation with Transformation-Optical Metamaterials". In: *Physical Review Letters* 113.167402 (2014). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.167402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.167402).



Comparison between traditional radiators and meta-material radiators for fixed momentum (40 GeV/c) and wavelength ( $\lambda = 700 \text{ nm}$ )



Implementation of meta-material: Several thin silver cylinders embedded in a dielectric with  $f = 0.076$



# Metamaterials R&D Plan



- ▶ Perform calculations and simulations for determining the material parameters that constitute particle detectors with enhanced detection sensitivity
- ▶ Verify effective Cherenkov radiation and extend to higher dimensions (2-D and 3-D) from 1-D photonic crystals that have been developed by industry
- ▶ Work out with commercial providers a realistic metamaterial implementation of such a detector with transparent dielectrics
- ▶ Upgrade our existing RICH prototype with photo-multipliers (SiPMs?) and adapt mirror to new detection conditions
- ▶ Anticipate performing a proof-of-principle experiment at, e.g., FTBF.



FY19

# FUNDING REQUEST


# Nominal FY19 Money Matrix



All amounts fully loaded

\$k	TPC Readout	μRWELL	Material Outgassing	RICH MPGD	RICH Meta-materials	Total
BNL/Yale	75					75
FIT		75				75
INFN				50		50
SBU					80	80
Temple		23	51			74
UVA		25				25
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>379</b>

- In -20% and -40% scenarios, each group reduces its request proportionally
- Details on what aspect each group would reduce specifically are given in the backup slides



# The End




**We thank BNL & the Review  
Committee for all their support!**



## Funding Request Details

**BACKUP**



# μRWELL – FIT, Temple, UVA



<b>\$k</b>	<b>μRWELL Simulation</b>	<b>μRWELL Cylindrical Prototype Design</b>	<b>Total</b>
Florida Tech	--	75	75
Temple	23	--	23
UVA	--	25	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>123</b>



# μRWELL – FIT



Florida Tech - FY19 budget request including scenarios with 20% and 40% reduction.

	Request	-20%	-40%
Postdoc salary (50%, fully loaded)	\$64,000	\$0	\$0
Graduate Student Stipend (12 mos.)	\$0	\$24,000	\$24,000
Graduate Student Tuition	\$0	\$19,500	\$0
Undergraduate Summer Stipend	\$0	\$6,000	\$6,000
Travel (fully loaded)	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000
Materials (fully loaded)	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$60,500</b>	<b>\$41,000</b>



# μRWELL – UVA



## R&D Plans for FY19

### Large Area & Low Mass EIC-FT-GEM Prototype

- ⇒ Analysis of July 2018 test beam data
- ⇒ Characterization of the chamber with X-ray and cosmic data
- ⇒ Present results at conferences and publication in peer-review journal

### R&D on μRWELL detector technologies

- ⇒ Design of cylindrical μRWELL prototype for EIC central Tracker
- ⇒ Characterization of the small μRWELL with 2D COMPASS readout
- ⇒ R&D on small μRWELL prototypes with various 2D readout structures

### VMM-based SRS Readout Electronics


- ⇒ Acquire small size SRS crate with VMM-SRS FE cards
- ⇒ Test VMM electronics on EIC-FT-GEM and μRWELL prototypes
- ⇒ Compare performances with APV25-SRS

### R&D on Chromium GEMs (Cr-GEMs)

- ⇒ Characterization of the Cr-GEM prototype with X-ray
- ⇒ Present results at conferences and publication in peer-review journal

## Funding Request FY19

	Request	-20%	-40%
μRWELL	\$10,000	\$5000	\$5000
VMM Electronics	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$3,000
Lab supplies	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,000
Travel (fully loaded)	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$3,000
Overhead (61%)	\$3075	\$2460	\$1845
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$25075</b>	<b>\$18460</b>	<b>\$13845</b>




# μRWELL – Temple



Table 1: Temple University: Micro R-Well Simulation FY19 request.

	<b>Request</b>	<b>-20%</b>	<b>-40%</b>
Postdoc (%)	\$11,274 (20%)	\$8,455 (15%)	\$5,637 (10%)
Fringe (26.85%)	\$3,027	\$2,270	\$1,514
Total Personal	\$14,301	\$10,725	\$7,151
Overhead (58.5%)	\$8,366	\$6,274	\$4,183
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$22,667</b>	<b>\$16,999</b>	<b>\$11,334</b>




# Outgassing – Temple



Temple University: Out gas Test Setup and Commercial triple-GEM Detector FY19 request.

	<b>Request</b>	<b>-20%</b>	<b>-40%</b>
Postdoc (%)	\$16,910 (30%)	\$14,092 (25%)	\$8,455 (15%)
Fringe (26.85%)	\$4,540	\$3,784	\$2,270
Total Personal	\$21,450	\$17,876	\$10,725
Material	\$3,000	\$1,500	\$1,500
Equipment	\$12,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
MTDC	\$24,450	\$19,376	\$12,225
Overhead (58.5%)	\$14,303	\$11,335	\$7,152
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$50,753</b>	<b>\$40,711</b>	<b>\$29,377</b>




# Outgassing Details – TU




## Outgas Test Setup Funding Request

- We would break the outgas test setup into two building phases
- **Phase 1 (this funding cycle):** Build/implement
  - Gas system (stainless steel tubing, flow meters, regulators, etc.)
  - SWPC detector (we would also like to install a GEM detector)
  - Both detector kits are available for purchase from CERN
  - SRS DAQ system
- Phase 2 (next funding cycle): Build/implement
  - Pressure/Temperature sensors
  - Hot box and resistive tape
- **30% Postdoc** will also be responsible for finishing eRD3 commercial GEM program (cosmic/x-ray characterization, **no materials/equipment needed**).
- **No travel money** is being requested for this project.
- **Materials/equipment** needed for outgas gas system, detector, and readout/DAQ.

- Travel Domestic
- Travel International
- Material
- Equipment
- Personnel



DOE EIC R&D / eRD6 - Temple University	
	FY 2019
<b>PERSONNEL</b>	
Post Docs (30%)	\$16,910
Fringe Benefits	
26.85% on Post Doc	\$4,540
<b>Total Personnel</b>	<b>\$21,451</b>
<b>Travel - Domestic</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Travel - International</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Material</b>	<b>\$3,000</b>
<b>Equipment</b>	<b>\$12,000</b>
<b>OTHER:</b>	
<b>Total Direct Costs</b>	<b>\$36,451</b>
<b>Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC)</b>	<b>\$24,451</b>
<b>F&amp;A: On-Campus Overhead 58.5%</b>	<b>\$14,304</b>
<b>Total Project Costs</b>	<b>\$50,755</b>



# TPC – BNL, SBU, Yale




New pad plane PCB's for TPC r/o

FEE adaptor cards, pico-ammeter, LV supplies

Technician (detector assembly) and design engineer (new mods)

Beam test, face to face meetings, conferences, etc.

	Baseline (k\$)	-20% (k\$)	-40% (k\$)
Readout boards (uniform patterns)	20	10	10
Gas and misc. electronic components	5	5	5
Technical support	10	10	5
Travel	15	15	10
<b>Total w/o overhead</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>
Overhead	25	20	15
<b>Total with overhead</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>45</b>



# Gaseous PID – INFN TRIESTE



## FUNDING REQUESTS

Table 4: Funding request INFN

item	cost (k\$)	overhead (k\$)	total (=cost+overhead) (k\$)
manpower	20	4	24
traveling	10	2	12
consumables	14		14

### Details:

1. a postdoc (7 months) fully dedicated to the project: a crucial boost to the R&D program;
2. traveling resources : within eRD6 Consortium, between Trieste and Bari;
3. Consumables: prototype components and prototype operation costs

## COMPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

**Personnel** (globally equivalent to 3 FTE):

From INFN Trieste:

- J. Agarwala (ICTP and INFN, fellowship)
- C. Chatterjee (Trieste University and INFN, PhD student)
- S. Dalla Torre (INFN, Staff)
- S. Dasgupta (INFN, postdoc)
- S. Levorato (INFN, Staff)
- F. Tessarotto (INFN, Staff)
- Y. Zhao (INFN, postdoc)

*technical personnel from INFN-Trieste foreseen according to needs*

From INFN BARI:

- Grazia Cicala (CNR staff and INFN)
- Antonio Valentini (Bari University and INFN, professor)

### External Funding

2019 INFN support for this activity, requested: 12 k €

*Reminder* - 2017 INFN support : 13 k €

2018 INFN support : 12 k €



# Cherenkov Meta-Materials – SBU



	Request	-20%	-40%
Photon readout	\$20,000	\$16,000	\$14,000
Mirror parts	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$3,000
Travel	\$10,000	\$8,000	\$6,000
Consumables	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$3,000
Developing meta-materials	\$40,000	\$32,000	\$24,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$80,000</b>	<b>\$64,000</b>	<b>\$48,000</b>



Technical Details

**BACKUP**

# Gaseous Photon Detection

**TASK:** “Further development of hybrid MPGDs for single photon detection synergistic to TPC read-out sensors”






**GOAL:**

- further improvements of hybrid MPGD (= 2 (TH)GEMs + 1  $\mu$ M, 3 stages in total)
- MPGD for single photon detection for PID, in particular high momentum RICHes
- Synergies with TPC sensors by MPGD technologies

REMINDER

*The starting status (COMPASS RICH upgrade):*

- *Scheme of the detector architecture*
- *The resistive anode by discrete elements*






# Gaseous Photon Detection (INFN)




Two tasks on-going (cont.) :

- 2. Initial studies of the compatibility of an innovative photocathode based on NanoDiamond (ND) particles with the operation in MPGD-based photon detectors

Reminder: the starting point



L.Velardi, A.Valentini, G.Cicala al.,  
Diamond & Related Materials 76 (2017) 1



# Cherenkov Angles



## Cherenkov Photon Manipulation

► Resultant<sup>1</sup>:

$$\tan(\alpha_{PH}) = \frac{k_y}{k_x} = \frac{G}{F} \frac{\sqrt{F^2 \epsilon_b \omega^2 / c^2 - k_x^2}}{k_x} = \frac{G}{F} \tan(\theta_{Ch, n_b})$$


$\theta_{Ch, n_b}$ : angle of Cherenkov radiation emitted in a medium with refractive index  $n_b$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \alpha_{PH} &= \arctan \left( \frac{G}{F} \tan \left( \arccos \left( \frac{c}{n_b F v} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \arctan \left( \frac{G}{F} \tan \left( \arccos \left( \frac{1}{F n_b \beta} \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Compare to classical Cherenkov angle:

$$\cos \theta_{Ch} = \frac{1}{n\beta} \Rightarrow \theta_{Ch} = \arccos \frac{1}{n\beta}$$

<sup>1</sup> $F = f', G = g', H = h'$



Meta-CF<sub>4</sub>  
 $F = 1.0005 \quad G = 10$